

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,988	07/16/2003	Ron Everett	030353 8827	
	7590 08/13/2007		EXAMINER	
FOX ROTHSCHILD, LLP 625 LIBERTY AVENUE		•	MYINT, DENNIS Y	
PITTSBURGH	, PA 15222-3155		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2162	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1			$ I \sim$					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action		10/620,988	EVERETT, RON	ļ				
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Dennis Myint	2162					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
ГНЕ	E REPLY FILED 03 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In				
υ,	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since				
	NDMENTS							
3. 🗵	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
	 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. [The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7. 🗵	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:	82-06						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3, 5, 7-9, 11, 13, 15-37, 40-62, and section</u> .	<u>02-30</u> .						
	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•				
-	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
	0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
	☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Amendment made to independent claim 1, that is, adding the limitations "independent" in line 1, "having a common form" in line 1, "said independent" in line 4, and "further wherein said data instances encapsulated in said data structures can be added, removed and searched"; amendment made to claim 52, that is, adding the limitation "independent" in line 2 and "having a common form" in line 2; amendment made to claim 82, that is, adding the limitations "independent" in line 10 and "having a common form" in line 10; and amendment made to claim 85, that is, adding the limitation "wherein said items may be added, removed, and searched" in line 6, raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Examiner disagrees with the remark "It is the Applicant's understanding that a tentative approval of the language of the independent claims of the application has been provided subject to the review of the Examiner's Primary Examiner" (Applicant's remarks, page 24 first paragraph) if this understanding was discussed in the interview on May 11, 2007.

Applicant is advised to refer to the interview summary issued on May 15, 2007.

Dennis Myint
Patent Examiner
AU-2162.

JOHN BREENE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100